



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,022	02/26/2002	Helmut Haning	Le A 35 206	8681

7590

05/09/2003

Jeffrey M. Greenman
Vice President, Patents and Licensing
Bayer Corporation
400 Morgan Lane
West Haven, CT 06516

EXAMINER

HABTE, KAHSAY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1624

6

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/082,022	Applicant(s) HANING ET AL.	
	Examiner Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	Art Unit 1624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to morpholines (R^6 in formula (I) forms morpholine), classified in class 544, subclass various.
 - II. Claims 1-5 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to thiazines (R^6 in formula (I) forms thiazine), classified in class 544, subclass various.
 - III. Claims 1-5 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to piperazines (R^6 in formula (I) forms piperazine), classified in class 544, subclass various.
 - IV. Claims 1-6 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to monoazine (R^6 in formula (I) forms piperidines or pyridines), classified in class 546, subclass various.
 - V. Claims 1-5 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to pyrrolidines (R^6 in formula (I) forms pyrrolidines), classified in class 548, subclass various.
 - VI. Claims 1-6 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to non-heterocyclic ring i.e. phenyl (R^6 in formula (I) forms phenyl), classified in class 562, subclass various.
 - VII. Claim 1-5 (in part), 8-9 (in part) and 11-14 (in part), drawn to others, classified in classes 544, 546, 548, 549, 562, 564, subclass various.

Art Unit: 1624

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-VII are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds such that the variable core created by the varying definitions of R^6 in formula (I) do not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art, and references anticipating one invention, would not render obvious the others. Group I is different from Groups II-VIII, since it is drawn to morpholine (6-membered ring with N and O at 1,4 position). Group II is drawn to thiazines (6-membered ring with N and S at 1,4 position) and is different from Group I or Groups III-VII. Group III is also different from Groups I-II and IV-VII, since the six-membered ring contains 2 nitrogens at 1,4 position. Group IV (piperidines or pyridines) is different from Groups I-III or Groups V-VII, since the six-membered ring contains only one nitrogen. Group V is drawn to pyrrolidines (5-membered ring with one nitrogen) that is not present in other groups. Group VI is drawn to phenyl (no heteroatoms in the ring) and is different from Groups I-V and Group VII. Group VII is drawn to others (compounds that don't fall in Groups I-VI, e.g. diazepines, azepines, pyrimidine, pyridazine, etc.) and is different from Groups I-VI. Thus, separate searches in the literature as well as in the U.S. Patent Classification System would be required. Each group's compounds are made and used independently of each other and could support separate patents. The compounds differ significantly in chemical structures. One skilled in the art would not consider such diverse structure equivalents of each other.

If Group VII is elected; tentative election of a single species is required.

Art Unit: 1624

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Susan Pellegrino on April 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).


Conclusion

2: Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

Art Unit: 1624


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.



Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.
Examiner
Art Unit 1624

KH
May 6, 2003



Mukund J. Shah
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1624